## DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, ACTUARIAL AND DATA SCIENCE PH.D. QUALIFYING EXAMINATION – APPLIED STATISTICS Time: 8am-11am (STA 590), 1pm-4pm (STA682), August 25, 2023

## **General Instructions**

- There are two parts in this exam: STA 590 and STA 682. You are to answer all questions. The score for each part will be converted to its percentage.
- Write on one side only. Clearly label the problem number and subpart. You must **show** all your work and **justifications** correctly and completely to receive full credits. Partial credits may be given for partially correct solutions.
- For each problem/subproblem, hand in only the answer that you want to be graded. If necessary, please make clear, e.g., by crossing out the other answer(s), which answer should be graded. Crossed-out work will be ignored. Failure to follow this *instruction* for a *problem will result* in a *zero score* for that *problem*.
- If a theorem is applied, you must clearly state the theorem, identify its assumption(s) and conclusion(s), and justify why it is applicable. New notations must be defined before use.
- When finished, please collate all pages according to the problem numbers and then number the pages accordingly. Hand in also the exam paper.

By signing below, I hereby acknowledge that I have completely read and fully understand the instructions.

Signature

**Printed Name** 

This part consists of five problems, each with subparts. It has a possible total of 150 points.

Problem 1 (33 points): A simulated dataset (n=30) has been generated by the following model:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_t + \varepsilon_t$$
$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \mu_t$$

 $\mu_t$  are independent  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

The **first four** columns at the following table listed the response variable Y, the explanatory variable X, autocorrelated error term  $\varepsilon$ , and the normal random variable  $\mu$ . One way to deal with correlated data is using transformed data,  $Y'_t = Y_t - \rho Y_{t-1}$ ,  $X'_t = X_t - \rho X_{t-1}$ . The first five observations of the dataset are listed.

t	X <sub>t</sub>	$\mu_t$	ε <sub>t</sub>	$Y_t$	$Y_t'$	$X_t'$
0	20.00		2.00	52.00		
1	19.70	0.18	-1.12	48.28	<i>Y</i> <sub>1</sub> ′=?	<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub> ′=?
2	18.86	0.90	1.63	49.35	<i>Y</i> <sub>2</sub> ′=80.73	X <sub>2</sub> ′=31.67
3	19.78	-0.07	-1.13	48.42	<i>Y</i> <sub>3</sub> ′=80.50	<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub> ′=32.04
4	19.93	4.13	4.86	54.72	<i>Y</i> <sub>4</sub> ′=86.19	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub> ′=32.78

The Cochrane-Orcutt procedure has estimated the  $\rho$  to be r=-0.65. The transformed data based on r=-0.65 are in the **fifth** and **sixth** columns.

The results from the simple linear regression based on response variable  $Y_t'$  and independent variable  $X_t'$  are:

Analysis of Variance								
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F			
Model	1	3796.30517	3796.30517	931.10	<.0001			
Error	27	110.08557	4.07724					
Corrected Total	28	3906.39074						

Parameter Estimates								
Variable DF		DF Parameter Standard Estimate Error		t Value	Pr >  t			
Intercept	1	17.56258	2.53604	6.93	<.0001			
Xtrans	1	1.97597	0.06476	30.51	<.0001			

Durbin-Watson D	1.815
Pr < DW	0.2385
Pr > DW	0.7615
Number of Observations	29
1st Order Autocorrelation	0.089

Please answer the following questions.

- a) (2 points)  $Y_1'=$
- b) (2 points)  $X_1'=$
- c) (2 points) Estimate  $\sigma^2 \{ \varepsilon_4 \} =$
- d) (3 points) Estimate  $\sigma{\{\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_5\}} =$
- e) (6 points) Estimate  $\sigma^2 \{ \varepsilon \}_{3x3} =$ ? For  $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_6]'$ .
- f) (6 points) Test whether the negative autocorrelation remains after transformation using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_0: H_1:
Test Statistics:
p-value:
Conclusion: Reject H_0 or Fail to reject H_0
```

- g) (6 points) Restate the estimated regression function in terms of the original variables. Also obtain  $s\{b_0\}$  and  $s\{b_1\}$ .
- h) (6 points) Test whether  $Y_t$  is positively linearly associated with  $X_t$ .

$$H_0$$

 $H_1$ :

**Test Statistics:** 

i) p-value: Conclusion: Reject  ${\cal H}_0$  or Fail to reject  ${\cal H}_0$ 

**Problem 2** (25 points): In an enzyme kinetic study the velocity of a reaction (Y) is expected to be related to the concentration (X) as follows:

$$Y_i = \frac{\gamma_0 X_i}{\gamma_1 + X_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

a) (5 points) Intrinsically linear models are nonlinear, but by using a correct transformation they can be transformed into linear regression models. Is this function,

$$Y_i = \frac{\gamma_0 X_i}{\gamma_1 + X_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

an intrinsically linear response function or nonlinear response function?

We will use the normal equation to obtain the least square estimates. To obtain the normal equations for

$$Y_i = f(\boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \varepsilon_i$$

we need to minimize  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_i - f(X_i, \gamma)]^2$  with respect to  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ .

The partial derivative of Q with respect to  $\gamma_k$  is:

$$\frac{dQ}{d\gamma_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n -2[Y_i - f(\boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma})] \left[ \frac{df(\boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{d\gamma_k} \right].$$

When the p partial derivatives are each set equal to 0

- b) (10 points) Describe how to obtain the initial value for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ .
- c) (10 points) Obtain the two normal equations for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  with estimates  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ .

**SENIC dataset:** The primary objective of the study on the efficacy of nosocomial infection control (SENIC) was to determine whether infection surveillance and control programs have reduced the rates of nosocomial infection in United States hospitals. This data set contains of a random sample of 113 hospital selected from the original 338 hospitals surveyed. The variables we are interested include:

Length of Stay (LOS): Average length of stay of all patients in hospital (in days)

Age (Age): Average age of patients (in years)

**Infection risk (Risk):** Average estimated probability of acquiring infection in hospital (in percent)

Medical school affiliation (School): 1=Yes, 2=No.

**Region (Region):** Geographics region, where 1=NE, 2=NC, 3=S, 4=W.

First five rows of the data:

ID	LOS	Age	Risk	School	Region
1	7.13	55.7	4.1	2	4
2	8.82	58.2	1.6	2	2
3	8.34	56.9	2.7	2	3
4	8.95	53.7	5.6	2	4
5	11.2	56.5	5.7	2	1

This dataset is for **Problem 3**, **Problem 4** and **problem 5**.

**Problem 3** (35 points) addressed the first research question," how medical school affiliation and region affect the infection risk". An ANOVA model for two-factor is proposed and the results is listed below.

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu_{..} + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, i = 1.2, j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

Source	Source DF Sum o		Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	7	30.1153614	4.3021945	2.64	0.0150
Error	105	171.2644616	1.6310901		
Corrected Total	112	201.3798230			

R-Square	Coeff Var	Root MSE	<b>Risk Mean</b>	
0.149545	29.32676	1.277141	4.354867	

Source	DF	Anova SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
School	1	10.93551541	10.93551541	6.70	0.0110
Region	3	13.99693932	4.66564644	2.86	0.0404
School*Region	3	5.18290665	1.72763555	1.06	0.3698

				Level of		Ri	sk
		Ri	sk	Region	N	Mean	Std Dev
Level of				1	28	4.86071429	1.27114393
School	N	Mean	Std Dev	2	32	4.39375000	1.33921920
1	17	5.09411765	1.11213229	3	37	3.92702703	1.45900435
2	96	4.22395833	1.34028628	4	16	4.38125000	0.87652248

l evel of	Lovel of		Risk		
School	Region	N	Mean	Std Dev	
1	1	5	5.60000000	1.28062485	
1	2	7	4.62857143	1.08122505	
1	3	3	5.86666667	0.30550505	
1	4	2	4.3000000	0.42426407	
2	1	23	4.70000000	1.23840073	
2	2	25	4.32800000	1.41554465	
2	3	34	3.75588235	1.39440248	
2	4	14	4.39285714	0.93353281	

- a) (6 points) Please state the assumptions for the model proposed.
- b) (6 points) Estimate  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $(\alpha\beta)_{22}$ .
- c) (6 points) Test whether or not the two factors interact; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_0 : H_1 :
Test Statistics:
p-value:
Conclusion: Reject H_0 or Fail to reject H_0
```

d) (6 points) Test whether or not the effect for region is present; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_{\rm 0} : Test Statistics: p-value: Conclusion: Reject H_{\rm 0} or Fail to reject H_{\rm 0}
```

e) (6 points) The 90% family confidence coefficient intervals for all pairwise comparison of the means for region were obtained using the Bonferroni procedure. However, the comparison for NE (1) and S (3) are missing. Please compute the interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_3$  by hand to complete the table. State your findings and prepare a graphical summary by lining nonsignificant comparisons.

 $H_1$ :

Comparisons significant at the 0.1 level								
Region Comparison	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous 90% Confidence Limits						
1 - 2	0.4670	-0.3371	1.2710					
1 - 4	0.4795	-0.4943	1.4532					
1 - 3								
2 - 4	0.0125	-0.9389	0.9639					
2 - 3	0.4667	-0.2834	1.2168					
3 - 4	-0.4542	-1.3839	0.4755					

f) (5 points) Using the Scheffe procedure, obtain confidence interval for the following comparisons for weight gain with 95% family confidence coefficient:

$$L_1 = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2} - \frac{\mu_3 + \mu_4}{2}.$$

**Problem 4** (20 points) addressed the second research question," how region affect the infection risk". An ANOVA model for one-factor is proposed and the results is listed below.

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_{..} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

a) (6 points) Please complete the analysis of variance table.

Source of Variation	df	SS	MS	F	p-value
Region					
Error					
Total					

b) (6 points) Test whether or not the effect for region is present; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

 $H_0$ : $H_1$ :Test Statistics:P-value:Conclusion: Reject  $H_0$  or Fail to reject  $H_0$ 

c) (8 points) The data is fitted by a multiple linear regression model using the following SAS code.

Proc GLM data=SENIC; class Region (ref=1); Model Risk=Region/solution; run;

Please estimate all the parameters for this multiple linear regression model.

The hospital with infection risk greater than 5% is considered in the high-risk group. A binary variable, RiskHigh is defined as

 $RiskHigh = \begin{cases} 1 & if Risk > 5\% \\ 0 & if Risk < 5\% \end{cases}$ 

**Problem 5** (37 points) addressed the third research question, "How variables, such as age, length of stay and region associated with RiskHigh?" A set of four models (**A**, **B**, **C**, **D**) included some or all of the three predictor variables were considered. Three dummy variables,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , and  $X_3$  were created for region (1=NE, 2=NC, 3=S, 4=W) variable.

$$X_{1} = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ region = NE \\ 0 \ Otherwise \end{cases}, X_{2} = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ region = NC \\ 0 \ Otherwise \end{cases}, X_{3} = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ region = S \\ 0 \ Otherwise \end{cases}$$

The four multiple logistic regression models considered were:

$$E\{RiskHigh = 1\} = \pi = \frac{exp(X'\beta)}{1 + exp(X'\beta)}$$

Model **A** (Region, Age, LOS):  $X' \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 \text{Age} + \beta_5 \text{LOS}$ Model **B** (Age, LOS):  $X' \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_4 \text{Age} + \beta_5 \text{LOS}$ Model **C** (Region, Age, LOS, Region\*Age, Region\*LOS):  $X' \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 \text{AGE} + \beta_5 \text{LOS} + \beta_{14} X_1 * \text{Age} + \beta_{24} X_2 * \text{Age} + \beta_{34} X_3 * \text{Age} + \beta_{15} X_1 * \text{LOS} + \beta_{25} X_2 * \text{LOS} + \beta_{35} X_3 * \text{LOS}$ Model **D** (LOS):  $X' \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{LOS}$ Analysis results were on page 9-13.

- a) (4 points) Based on Model **A**, estimate the odds of been high-risk for a hospital from W(est) region with average patients' age=50 years old and length of stay=10 days.
- b) (4 points) Based on Model **A**, what will be the maximum length of stay allowed to have the probability of been in high-risk less than 5% for a hospital in S(outh) and average patients' age=50?
- c) (6 points) Conduct a Wald test to determine whether length of stay is related to the probability of been in high-risk group for Model **A**; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} : H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} : Test Statistics: p-value: Conclusion: Reject H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} or Fail to reject H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}
```

d) (6 points) Conduct a likelihood ratio test to determine whether region is related to the probability of been in high-risk group for Model **A**; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_0: H_1:
Test Statistics:
p-value:
Conclusion: Reject H_0 or Fail to reject H_0
(6 points) Conduct a likelihood ratio test to determine
```

e) (6 points) Conduct a likelihood ratio test to determine whether the interaction terms, between age/length of stay and region, respectively, were related to the probability of been in high-risk group in Model **C**; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

```
H_0: H_1:
Test Statistics:
p-value:
Conclusion: Reject H_0 or Fail to reject H_0
```

f) (6 points) Conduct a goodness of fit test to detect whether Model **D** used logit link function is appropriate; using  $\alpha$ =0.05.

 $H_0$ :  $H_1$ :

Test Statistics: p-value: Conclusion: Reject  $\,H_{_0}\,$  or Fail to reject  $\,H_{_0}\,$ 

g) (5 points) Based on Model D used probit link function, estimate the probability of been in high-risk for a hospital with length of stay =12 days.

Analysis results:

Problem 5 Model A: Multiple Logistic Regression analysis on Region, Age and Length of stay to RiskHigh

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion Intercept Only Covariate						
AIC	136.682	112.973				
SC	139.409	129.337				
-2 Log L	134.682	100.973				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiS							
Likelihood Ratio	33.7092	5	<.0001				
Score	28.2421	5	<.0001				
Wald	19.5711	5	0.0015				

Type 3 Analysis of Effects							
Effect	WaldDFChi-SquarePr > ChiSq						
Region	3	5.8766	0.1178				
Age	1	0.6164	0.4324				
LOS	1	17.8976	<.0001				

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	-13.0773	3.9586	10.9132	0.0010
Region	1	1	-1.0171	0.5311	3.6681	0.0555
Region	2	1	0.2107	0.4044	0.2714	0.6024
Region	3	1	-0.4237	0.4249	0.9945	0.3187
Age		1	0.0461	0.0587	0.6164	0.4324
LOS		1	0.9946	0.2351	17.8976	<.0001

Problem 5 Model B: Multiple Logistic Regression analysis on Age and Length of stay to RiskHigh

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Intercept Only Covariate					
AIC	136.682	113.323			
SC	139.409	121.505			
-2 Log L	134.682	107.323			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	27.3590	2	<.0001				
Score	24.3038	2	<.0001				
Wald	16.8873	2	0.0002				

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates							
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
Intercept	1	-10.0441	3.4833	8.3148	0.0039		
Age	1	0.0309	0.0559	0.3054	0.5805		
LOS	1	0.7572	0.1866	16.4622	<.0001		

Problem 5 Model C: Multiple Logistic Regression analysis on Region, Age, Length of stay and interactions to RiskHigh

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Intercept Only Covaria					
AIC	136.682	114.574			
SC	139.409	147.303			
-2 Log L	134.682	90.574			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	44.1074	11	<.0001				
Score	36.0266	11	0.0002				
Wald	20.9400	11	0.0340				

А	Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	-18.7276	6.3670	8.6516	0.0033
Region	1	1	-22.4364	15.6072	2.0666	0.1506
Region	2	1	3.7300	8.7361	0.1823	0.6694
Region	3	1	12.3504	8.1363	2.3041	0.1290
Age		1	0.1531	0.0999	2.3487	0.1254
LOS		1	0.9343	0.2738	11.6426	0.0006
Age*Region	1	1	0.3782	0.2533	2.2289	0.1355
Age*Region	2	1	-0.0963	0.1257	0.5874	0.4434
Age*Region	3	1	-0.3122	0.1342	5.4115	0.0200
LOS*Region	1	1	0.1046	0.4712	0.0493	0.8243
LOS*Region	2	1	0.2170	0.4424	0.2407	0.6237
LOS*Region	3	1	0.4538	0.4576	0.9835	0.3213

Problem 5 Model D: Simple Logistic Regression analysis on length of stay to RiskHigh with link function=Logit

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Intercept Only Covariate					
AIC	136.682	111.631			
SC	139.409	117.085			
-2 Log L	134.682	107.631			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq			
Likelihood Ratio	27.0512	1	<.0001			
Score	24.1121	1	<.0001			
Wald	16.9425	1	<.0001			

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-8.4550	1.8686	20.4729	<.0001
LOS	1	0.7627	0.1853	16.9425	<.0001

Partition for the Hosmer and Lemeshow Test					
		RiskHigh = 1		RiskHigh = 0	
Group	Total	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected
1	11	1	0.58	10	10.42
2	11	1	0.89	10	10.11
3	11	2	1.20	9	9.80
4	11	1	1.61	10	9.39
5	11	1	2.02	10	8.98
6	11	4	2.67	7	8.33
7	11	4	3.24	7	7.76
8	11	1	4.17	10	6.83
9	12	7	6.11	5	5.89
10	13	10	9.51	3	3.49

Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test				
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
7.2002	8	0.5152		

## Problem 5 Model D: Simple Logistic Regression analysis on length of stay to RiskHigh with link function=Probit

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates		
AIC	136.682	111.871		
SC	139.409	117.325		
-2 Log L	134.682	107.871		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
Likelihood Ratio	26.8111	1	<.0001		
Score	24.1121	1	<.0001		
Wald	18.6891	1	<.0001		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-4.8984	1.0140	23.3368	<.0001	
LOS	1	0.4413	0.1021	18.6891	<.0001	

Partition for the Hosmer and Lemeshow Test					
		RiskHi	gh = 1	RiskHi	gh = 0
Group	Total	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected
1	11	1	0.52	10	10.48
2	11	1	0.87	10	10.13
3	11	2	1.22	9	9.78
4	11	1	1.68	10	9.32
5	11	1	2.11	10	8.89
6	11	4	2.79	7	8.21
7	11	4	3.35	7	7.65
8	11	1	4.24	10	6.76
9	12	7	6.07	5	5.93
10	13	10	9.41	3	3.59

Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test				
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
7.4362	8	0.4904		